Oanist Energy
 Agency



Cron Germanne til dig



# Development Plan MPEC Ostróda



### Who am I and who is Artelia?

Mathias V. Steenstrup
 Engineer and project manager
 District heating



• Artelia

Independent multi-disciplinary engineering & project management company

- 5 main markets/fields
  - Energy ← District Heating
  - Industry
  - Buildings
  - Mobility
  - o Water



V VIEKS

Grøn fjernvarme til dig

ARTELIA

MPEC OSTRÓDA

DBDH

Danish Energy

Agency



### Agenda/journey





### MPEC Ostróda 2025

- MPEC Ostróda were formerly dependent on coal
- Today heat (and electricity) is produced from a mix of natural gas, biomass and coal
- The price of heat has been highly influenced by the energy crisis from 2021-2023, but since then MPEC Ostróda has managed to lower the price by 18%



![](_page_4_Picture_0.jpeg)

### MPEC Ostróda 2025

- MPEC Ostróda were formerly dependent on coal
- Today heat (and electricity) is produced from a mix of natural gas, biomass and coal
- A calibrated simulation model of MPEC Ostróda's production is made to simulate future scenarios
  - Simulation tool: EnergyPRO
- Baseload is covered by CHP, biomass boiler and natural gas boiler while the peak load is covered by coal boilers
- The share of RES is 27 % and fossil fuels 38 %
- The remaining 35 % is CHP

![](_page_4_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Aims

- EU Energy Efficiency Directive (EU/2023/1791)
  - MPEC Ostróda meets the criteria of 2027 by having
    62 % combined RES and CHP
  - The criteria of 2028 can be obtained by meeting the criteria of "high-efficiency CHP"
    - >10 % Primary Energy Saving (PES)
    - <270 gCO<sub>2</sub> per 1 kWh<sub>heating+cooling</sub>
  - Criteria of 2035 are applied for this project
- Local aims

o ...

Time	Criteria	
≤ 31 December 2027	either	Min. 50 % of RES
		Min. 50 % of waste heat
		Min. 75 % of CHP
		Min. 50 % of a combination (RES, waste heat and/or CHP)
≥ 1 January 2028	either	Min. 50 % of RES
		Min. 50 % of waste heat
		Min. 50 % of RES and waste heat
		Min. 80 % of high-efficiency CHP
		Min. 50 % of a combination (RES, waste heat and high-
		efficiency CHP) and min. 5 % RES
≥ 1 January 2035	either	Min. 50 % of RES
		Min. 50 % of waste heat
		Min. 50 % of a combination (RES and waste heat)
		Min. 80 % of a combination (RES, waste heat and/or high-
		efficiency CHP and min. 35 % RES
≥ 1 January 2040	either	75 % of RES
		75 % of waste heat
		75 % of a combination (RES and waste heat)
		Min. 95 % of a combination (RES, waste heat and/or high-
		efficiency CHP and min. 35 % RES
≥ 1 January 2045	either	Min. 75 % of RES
		Min. 75 % of waste heat
		75 % of a combination (RES and waste heat)
≥ 1 January 2050	either	100 % of RES
		100 % of waste heat
		100 % of a combination (RES and waste heat)

#### Strategy

- Meet these aims through <u>investments</u> and consumer <u>interaction/information</u>
  - Investments must seek to increase system efficiency, increase security of supply and increase the economic competitiveness by lower heating prices
  - Through consumer interaction/information, the system efficiency can be increased by e.g. lower return temperatures and expectations can be met

![](_page_6_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Strategy

- SWOT analysis
  - Obtain knowledge and experiences with RES
  - Seek sources of heat/fuels that increase flexibility (and security of supply) while lower fuel dependency
  - Utilize and further develop on the already wellestablished infrastructure
  - Seek state-of-the-art technologies at low investment cost by e.g. economic support schemes

![](_page_7_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Techno-economic analysis

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Model
  - All productions units (capacity, efficiency etc.)
  - Economic (fuel costs, taxes and tariffs, O&M, incomes etc.)
  - Local conditions (ambient temperatures, solar radiation etc.)
- Hourly simulation of annual production and costs
  - Optimizes production based on the hourly price of each production unit
  - Annual report of **production** and **finance**
- Investment costs
  - Based upon actual and comparable projects
- NPV
  - Combining the investment with the annual operational costs, a net present value can be calculated an compared for each investment

## Net Present Value (NPV) is the sum of all future cash flows discounted to present value, used to assess investment profitability.

![](_page_8_Figure_14.jpeg)

### Techno-economic analysis

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Technology catalogue
  - Heat pumps
  - Electric boiler
  - Biomass boiler and CHP
  - Solar thermal collectors RES
  - Geothermal energy
  - Excess heat

Waste heat

Electricity

- - O&M costs Operation time Efficiency

**Investment costs** 

**Fuel costs** 

Capacity

Net Present Value (NPV)

Site area

Availability

**Sustainability** 

Flexibility/ security of supply

• Thermal energy storage

Evaluation and simulation

### Techno-economic analysis

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Mapping of excess/waste heat
  - Third-party maps
  - Self-produced map
- Low and high temperature sources
  - Higher temperatures are preferred
  - Lower temperatures can be utilized through heat pumps
- Low and high capacity
  - Capacity should be of a certain size for it to be feasible
- Mapping can also be used for other sources of heat such as geothermal energy

![](_page_10_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_13.jpeg)

#### Results

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

Heat Pump 10 MW<sub>heat</sub> Air/water Natural refrigerant

![](_page_11_Picture_4.jpeg)

**Excess/waste heat** 0,5 MW Further investigated for more capacity...

![](_page_11_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Solar thermal collectors

23,850 m<sup>2</sup> effective solar panel area 10 ha of land area

![](_page_11_Picture_9.jpeg)

Thermal storage 4,000 m<sup>3</sup> (CHP, HP and biomass) 4,500 m<sup>3</sup> (Solar thermal collectors)

#### Results

- Model simulation of 2030
- Technical results Ο
  - **Productions shares** •
  - Fuel consumption
  - Emissions
- All technologies are producing a significant shares of the overall heat production
- Coal is completely phased out
- Electricity is produced in the hours where it is profitable to operate the CHP
  - Cheaper alternatives will decrease the number Ο of hours
- Solar heat will produce all the heat needed during the summer

![](_page_12_Figure_11.jpeg)

MPEC OSTRÓDA

Danish Energy

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Results

- The 2035 requirements of the EU EED is fulfilled
  - RES > 50 % (66 %)
  - RES & high efficiency CHP > 80 % (85 %)
- Baseload will be covered by the biomass boiler, CHP and heat pump except for the summer where the solar thermal collectors will cover the heat demand
- The wide range of fuels will increase **security of supply**, and provides flexibility regarding fuel prices
- Thermal storages will increase flexibility even more
- The solar thermal collectors will make MPEC Ostróda independent of fuels during the summer period

![](_page_13_Figure_9.jpeg)

### Roadmap 2030 and beyond

- Investments are spread across the next 5 years
  - o 2026:

5 MW of heat pump capacity and 4,000 m<sup>3</sup> thermal storage will provide enough for the last coal to be phased out

2027-2028:

Additional 5 MW heat pump based on the initial experiences of heat pump investment

2029-2030:

Solar thermal collectors are installed

- Development plan should be revised with regular intervals (e.g. every second year) as prerequisites will change over time
  - It is believed that the heat demand will increase in the future

![](_page_14_Figure_10.jpeg)

ARTELI/

MPEC OSTRÓDA

DBDH

Danish Energy